

Report

Competitive set selection and performance testing methodology: HP LaserJet Enterprise M506 series



HP LaserJet Fall 2015 New Product Claims

This document describes HP test methodology as well as definitions of the competitive sets for the HP LaserJet Enterprise M506 series that was publically introduced on September 22, 2015

Competitive Set Definition

Performance data shown is based on leading competitors as well as the HP predecessor product. Leading competitors for each category of products were defined based on the top unit shipment data for products currently in the market that started shipping WW on or after April 2012. The top 3 shipment vendors* besides HP were selected: Lexmark, Brother and Samsung.

HP further refined the products within the top 3 shipment vendors: For each of the top 3 the highest volume product from the most recent quarter was selected. If there was a faster product from that vendor within 25% of the highest volume product it was selected instead. If there was a replacement product for the top selected product it was selected instead.

All product testing was completed in August, 2015.

*Top 3 shipment vendors:

Quarter=2014Q2-2015Q1

Product Detail=Monochrome Laser

Product Category= Printer

Format=A4

Letter Mono Speed=40-50ppm

Source: IDC WW Quarterly HCP Tracker 2015Q2 Final Historical Release

Performance test methodology

The exact speed varies depending on the system configuration, software applications, driver and document complexity. Performance was tested on identical system configurations on dedicated network 1000Base-T EEE (energy efficient Ethernet) switches connected to a low traffic network uplink. Identical software applications and revisions were used with the driver installed on a clean system image, using the test files defined by the test standards to ensure consistent complexity across all devices. Testing was done using letter size paper (8.5"x11").

The following defines the method used to test each performance metric

- **Ready mode FPOT (First Page Out Time)**

- Measured using ISO/IEC 17629-2014 : “Method for measuring first page out time of a digital printing device”

- **Sleep mode FPOT (First Page Out Time)**

- Measured using pdf file from ISO/IEC 17629-2014 : “Method for measuring first page out time of a digital printing device” at 60 minutes from entering sleep.

- **Print PPM (Pages Per Minute) and Duplex IPM (Images Per Minute)**

- Measured using ISO/IEC 24734-2009 : “Method for measuring digital printing productivity”

- **Typical Electricity Consumption (TEC)**

- Based on HP testing using the ENERGY STAR® program’s Typical Electricity Consumption (TEC) method or as reported in energystar.gov as of July 2015. Actual results may vary. HP testing is based on using the default Sleep Timer setting for all products. Default Sleep Timer setting is 0 minutes for the LaserJet M506 series. Increasing the Sleep Timer setting longer than the default value can increase TEC.

- **TEC Watt hours per page**

- TEC W hrs/week divided by the number of pages per week printed in the TEC test. The number of pages printed is determined by the TEC test standard for the device speed.

- Formula: $TEC\ W\ hrs/page = TEC(kWhrs) * 1000 / (pages_per_wk)$ – or – $TEC(Whrs) / (pages_per_wk)$

Test results based on competitive set selection and testing methodology described above:

Product comparisons	Print Performance (First page out time, first page to print from sleep mode)				Duplex efficiency	
	Ready FPOT (First Page Out Time in seconds)	FPOT improvement of new HP device vs. predecessor and leading competitors	Sleep FPOT (First Page Out Time from sleep in seconds)	Sleep FPOT improvement of new HP device vs. predecessor and leading competitors	Printing duplex efficiency measured by IPM (Images per minute)	Duplex improvement of new HP device vs. predecessor and leading competitors
HP LaserJet Enterprise M506 series	5.9		8.1		36	
Predecessor Device: HP LaserJet Enterprise P3015	7.5	21%	16	49%	21	71%
Leading competitors based on IDC market share data						
Lexmark MS510dn	6.82	13%	9.27	13%	21.4	68%
Brother HL-5450DN	11.16	47%	12	33%	20.6	75%
Samsung ProXpress M4020ND	7.66	23%	16.55	51%	18.3	97%

Product comparisons	TEC (Typical electricity Consumption) Energy Star metric		Energy consumption per page	
	Energy Star TEC rating	Percentage of energy saved of new HP device vs. predecessor and leading competitors	TEC Watt hours per page	Percentage of energy saved of new HP device vs. predecessor and leading competitors
HP LaserJet Enterprise M506 series	1.886		0.380	
Predecessor Device: HP LaserJet Enterprise P3015	3.270	42%	0.757	50%
Leading competitors based on IDC market share data				
Lexmark MS510dn	2.000	6%	0.403	6%
Brother HL-5450DN	2.120	11%	0.530	28%
Samsung ProXpress M4020ND	1.900	1%	0.440	14%

Sign up for updates
hp.com/go/getupdated

For ISO/IEC Test Reports
hp.com/go/printerclaims

© Copyright 2015 HP Development Company, L.P. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. The only warranties for HP products and services are set forth in the express warranty statements accompanying such products and services. Nothing herein should be construed as constituting an additional warranty. HP shall not be liable for technical or editorial errors or omissions contained herein.

