




CA Transparency in Supply Chains Act of 2010



On January 1, 2012, the California Transparency in Supply Chains Act of 2010 (SB 657) went into effect. This law seeks to increase the availability of information from manufacturers and retailers regarding their efforts, if any, to address the issues of slavery and human trafficking in their supply chains. This in turn is intended to allow consumers to make better, more informed choices regarding the products they buy and the companies they choose to support.

HP is committed to acting with integrity, fairness, and accountability, which we believe are fundamental principles underlying an inclusive society and a thriving business. We are uncompromising in our expectations of ethical behavior by our employees, partners, and suppliers. These expectations are clearly described in [Integrity at HP \(formerly the Standards of Business Conduct\)](#) and supported by additional targeted policies and codes of conduct addressing the behavior of our partners and suppliers. [HP's Sustainability Policy](#) further outlines HP's commitment to respect human rights in its operations.

Key expectations of our suppliers are contained in HP's [Supplier Code of Conduct](#), which incorporates international labor and human rights principles. Our contracts with suppliers require them to ensure that workers associated with HP production at supplier facilities have: (i) the right to freely chosen employment; (ii) the right, in accordance with local laws, to join labor unions on a voluntary basis, to bargain collectively as they choose and to engage in peaceful assembly; and (iii) the right to a workplace free of harassment and unlawful discrimination. HP's manufacturing suppliers also agree that their operations comply with applicable laws regarding forced labor, child labor and human trafficking.

We recognize that certain groups of workers in our supply chain may be more vulnerable than others to risks of modern slavery and have developed two specialized standards that are applied in targeted jurisdictions. Our [Student and Dispatch Worker Standard](#) was introduced in 2013 to address unique risks for young workers (16 and 17 years old), contracted dispatch workers, and students at supplier facilities in the People's Republic of China. Our [Foreign Migrant Worker Standard](#) was introduced in 2014 to address specific risks related to the recruitment, selection, hiring, and management of foreign migrant workers.

HP's efforts to evaluate suppliers' conformity to our requirements and to prevent risks of forced labor and human trafficking in the supply chain include:

1. Evaluation and verification of product supply chains.

We work to sense emerging risks in our supply chain at global, regional, and local levels. We analyze information from our supplier-monitoring program, worker engagement, our extensive stakeholder network, and other external sources to proactively look for and address risks such as those related to forced labor and human trafficking. If we receive allegations of child, forced or prison labor, we record that information in



CA Transparency in Supply Chains Act of 2010

our global case management system. This allows us to spot potential business and geographical trends that may affect these risks and determine whether additional controls are necessary.

- Stakeholder engagement: HP engages with a broad range of stakeholders including workers (through interviews, capability building programs, and our ethics concerns reporting system), industry bodies, governments, socially responsible investors (SRIs), and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) to research and better understand risks of forced labor and human trafficking in the supply chain.
- Supplier risk profiling: HP performs assessments of many suppliers to analyze potential risks of forced labor and human trafficking, which consider supplier location, manufacturing process, supplier reputational and business information, and external stakeholder information. Typically, if the supplier is considered a high-risk supplier with whom we have a certain level of spend, we require the completion of a foreign migrant worker self-assessment questionnaire. We conduct specialized assessments of conformance for selected manufacturing suppliers.
- External data: We use information from a wide range of external sources to provide context for our supply chain responsibility program design. These sources include research, reports, indices from governments and reputable research institutions, and we monitor external sources for new resources as they become available.
- Risk indicators: To evaluate risks related to forced labor or human trafficking, we analyze indicators such as employment of vulnerable worker groups, the potential use of third party agents in the recruitment or management of workers, and supplier operations in geographic areas with potential for elevated risks of forced labor or human trafficking.

We primarily focus our supply chain responsibility program engagement on manufacturing suppliers with whom we have a direct contractual relationship. We also require that our direct suppliers mirror our expectations with their suppliers. Where we do not have direct relationships, we believe that industry collaboration can be used to appropriately accelerate change.

2. Audits of suppliers.

The information received through our risk sensing feeds into our annual audit prioritization process, capability building, and other manufacturing supplier program activities. HP's audit program evaluates suppliers' conformance to HP's Supplier Code of Conduct and/or specialized labor standards.

Announced audits of certain high-risk manufacturing suppliers are conducted by independent third-party auditors through the [Responsible Business Alliance \(RBA\) \(formerly the Electronic Industry Citizenship Coalition \(EICC\) Validated Audit Process \(VAP\) !\[\]\(de95854c7ee024cfadc48187bbb781b2_img.jpg\)](#) or by certified HP auditors. In 2017, we conducted 101 manufacturing supplier audits and assessments (which included labor rights), approximately 74% of which were audits conducted by independent third-party auditors. For non-manufacturing suppliers, we conducted 24 audits, all of which were conducted by certified HP auditors. For student dispatch worker performance, we track suppliers' performance against the standards set forth in our Student and Dispatch Worker Standard for supplier facilities in the People's Republic of China, and take appropriate action when they fall short.

A finding of non-conformance with HP's Supplier Code of Conduct or any other HP policy or standard related to modern slavery does not necessarily indicate that an instance of forced labor or human trafficking has occurred, but may signal a lack of operations or procedures to prevent such an occurrence. Following a finding of non-conformance, suppliers are required to produce and implement corrective action plans to resolve the issue. In addition, we regularly assess our audit findings to make improvements to our approach to detecting and addressing the risks of modern slavery in our supply chain. Auditors are required to escalate any findings or indicators of modern slavery. Immediate priority audit findings are the most serious type of supplier non-conformance. These findings include potential indicators of child labor, forced labor, severe forms of discrimination, health and safety issues posing immediate danger to life or risk of serious injury, and perceived violation of environmental laws posing serious and immediate harm to the community. Suppliers must immediately cease all practices contributing to an immediate priority audit finding and report their corrective action no later than 30 days after the original audit or specialized assessment. The finding will then be re-examined during a site visit by a third party or certified HP auditor to confirm resolution.

(See audit results in HP's [most recent Sustainable Impact Report](#) for more information.)



CA Transparency in Supply Chains Act of 2010

3. Certification requirements for direct suppliers.

HP has purchasing agreements or purchase order terms and conditions in place with our direct suppliers requiring them to comply with international standards and applicable laws and regulations regarding forced labor and human trafficking as specified in HP's Supplier Code of Conduct. HP's direct suppliers certify that their operations comply with the laws regarding forced labor and human trafficking through their consent to the relevant terms and conditions in their agreements with HP.

Although the terms of HP's Supplier Code of Conduct do not specifically address "materials" that suppliers incorporate into products, HP presents the Code of Conduct as a total supply chain initiative and requires suppliers to certify, at minimum, that they require their next tier suppliers to acknowledge and implement the HP Code of Conduct and hand the HP Code down to their sub-tier suppliers. We also require suppliers to monitor the performance of their next tier suppliers against the requirements of the HP Supplier Code of Conduct.

As part of the requirements of HP's Supplier Code of Conduct and specialized labor standards we require suppliers to develop appropriate policies, procedures and associated documentation to adhere to our requirements and any applicable laws and regulations, including those prohibiting forced labor and human trafficking. We confirm the existence and maintenance of those management systems through our audit program (see section 2 above).

4. Internal accountability standards and procedures.

HP requires all employees to comply with Integrity at HP, which includes provisions prohibiting the use of child, prison, forced, or trafficked labor in HP operations. Any concerns or alleged violations of company policy are taken seriously. We respond promptly and conduct investigations when appropriate. Violations of Integrity at HP or HP policies may result in disciplinary or remedial actions, up to and including termination. HP's expectations have also been adapted for contingent workers through the [HP Contingent Worker Code of Conduct](#), which is re-enforced through labor contracts.

HP provides multiple communication channels to ask questions or report concerns about a potential violation of law, company policy, or Integrity at HP, including those related to forced labor or human trafficking. (See [Ethics](#) for more information).

5. Training.

Our employees are trained annually on Integrity at HP, with a training completion rate of more than 99% of active employees. HP also launched a new training program for relevant procurement staff that provides the context of forced labor and slavery, signs of forced labor conditions, a summary of HP's policies and standards to combat modern slavery, who to contact for help, and how to report information.

We also seek to raise supplier awareness of and conformance to HP's Supplier Code of Conduct and specialized labor standards, including ways to identify and address the risks of modern slavery. HP's supply chain capability building programs have included, for example, worker-management communications training, which provides employees access to mechanisms to raise issues with management or superiors. We conducted workshops in Thailand and Malaysia to train 118 supplier factory managers and 36 labor agents on our expectations for student workers and juvenile workers, as well as delivered training to 213 supplier workers in Malaysia. Our training modules offered on social media platforms drew close to 3,000 subscribers in China.

In addition to our internal processes and programs, we strongly believe that partnership with other companies and key stakeholders is critical to facing the challenges of modern slavery. We work through the Responsible Business Alliance to create and share leading practices and programs to advance improvements to the RBA Code of Conduct and capabilities of RBA member suppliers. RBA's Responsible Labor Initiative, of which HP's Director of Human Rights and Supply Chain Responsibility is the chair for the steering committee. We seize opportunities to cooperate across the broader business community, for instance becoming one of the founding members of the Leadership Group for Responsible Recruiting, which is focusing on eradicating worker-paid fees.

For full details of our Supply Chain Responsibility program, see [Supply chain responsibility](#).